Hypersonic Task-based Research solver Studying compressible reacting flows using Legion

Mario Di Renzo

Legion retreat, Dec 4-5, 2024







Content

What is HTR and its applications?

How is Legion leveraged to achieve performance?

The future of the HTR solver

The Hypersonic Task-based Research solver

Main features:

- Compressible multicomponent Navier—Stokes solver with finite-rate chemistry
- Formulated to handle curvilinear multiblock computational grids
- Compatible with NVIDIA and AMD GPUs

Further reading:

- aerothermodynamics." Computer Physics Communications 255 (2020), 107262
- 261 (2021), p. 107733.
- Communications 272 (2022), p. 108247.



• Di Renzo, M., Fu, L. & Urzay, J. "HTR solver: An open-source exascale-oriented task-based multi-GPU high-order code for hypersonic • Di Renzo, M. & Pirozzoli, S. "HTR-1.2 solver: Hypersonic Task-based Research solver version 1.2". Computer Physics Communications

• Di Renzo, M. "HTR-1.3 solver: Predicting electrified combustion using the hypersonic task-based research solver". Computer Physics



Applications of the HTR solver

Hypersonic high-enthalpy boundary layers



Shock-wave/turbulence interactions





Integrated Simulations using Exascale Multiphysics Ensembles (INSIEME) **PI: Prof. Gianluca laccarino**



- Prediction of reliability of laser-based ignition of cryogenic propellants on a
- Complex physics including compressible flow, phase change, turbulence,
- Ignition probability maps obtained from O(105-106) concurrent multifidelity ensembles run on exascale machines with an efficient, portable HPC code

Properties of chemical species distribution in hypersonic boundary layers at high enthalpies **PI: Mario Di Renzo**

Project Goal: determine the scaling of chemical species distribution in hypersonic high-enthalpy boundary layers

Integrated CONceptual DEsign tools for Suborbital vehicles (ICONDES) **PI: Mario Di Renzo**

Project Goal: development of an integrated conceptual design tool for manned suborbital vehicles

- coupled disciplines:
 - Sizing and weight of the vehicle
 - Flight trajectory optimization
- used during the conceptual design.

Finanziato dall'Unione europea **NextGenerationEU**

Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca

Italia**domani** PIANO NAZIONALE DI RIPRESA E RESILIENZA

How is Legion leveraged to achieve performance?

Case study

- The PSAAP III project investigates a rocket burner with complex geometry, where billions of degrees of freedom are necessary to describe the flow
- The index space of the computational domain utilized for these calculations features multiple blocks
- These blocks are connected in a non-trivial manner to describe the desired topology
- The HTR solver partitions this domain and \bullet executes several tasks with stencil accesses to update the solution

Credit: Alboreno Voci (albovoci@stanford.edu)

Case study

- The index space of a section of the PSAAP burner looks like a cross
- The lateral faces of the cross are connected to describe the domain topology

Credit: Alboreno Voci (albovoci@stanford.edu)

Dynamic scheduling

- The index space of a section of the PSAAP burner looks like a cross
- The lateral faces of the cross are connected to describe the domain topology
- HTR partitions this index space and solves a set of conservation equations within each partition

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial z} + .$$

 $\dots + \Omega_{chem}$

Dynamic scheduling

- The index space of a section of the PSAAP burner looks like a cross
- The lateral faces of the cross are connected to describe the domain topology
- HTR partitions this index space and solves a set of conservation equations within each partition

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{V}}}{\partial z} + \dots + \mathbf{\Omega}_{chem}$$

- Tasks compute and add to the right-hand side of the equation each term
- Required data might be readily available or not depending on partitioning and mapping
- Dynamically scheduling the tasks with an atomic coherence model significantly helps hiding communications

Problem: We need to execute a task on the green subregion. This task requires stencil accesses in the red subregions.

Problem: We need to execute a task on the green subregion. This task requires stencil accesses in the red subregions.

Baseline implementation:

An affine accessor that spans the green and red ulletregions is utilized

Pros:

Legion affine accessors are fast

Cons:

- Requires a lot of memory
- Computationally inefficient \bullet
- Non-trivial stencil point calculations

Problem: We need to execute a task on the green subregion. This task requires stencil accesses in the red subregions.

New implementation:

A padded instance for the green region and a multilacksquareaffine accessor for the red regions are utilized.

Problem: We need to execute a task on the green subregion. This task requires stencil accesses in the red subregions.

New implementation:

A padded instance for the green region and a multiulletaffine accessor for the red regions are utilized.

Problem: We need to execute a task on the green subregion. This task requires stencil accesses in the red subregions.

New implementation:

- A padded instance for the green region and a multi- \bullet affine accessor for the red regions are utilized.
- Copy data from the red regions to the instance padding

Pros:

- Utilizes Legion affine accessors in the computationally intensive part of the calculation
- Simple stencil point calculations
- Improved affinity in memory access

The future of the HTR solver

Brief history of HTR

HTR++/Legion interface

Observations:

- HTR and HTR++ require only a small subset of the Legion runtime objects and execution options • Most of HTR developers have a background in fluid dynamics

Objective: Hide some of the Legion runtime constructs and procedures from new developers while reducing the lines of code required by each operation

Strategy: Use a series of helper objects to ensure that Legion data structures are properly constructed, utilized, and deleted by HTR++.

Byproduct: This interface could be generalized to allow HTR++ to be executed as a standalone application

//						
class Fie	LdSpaceManage	er {				
public:						
11						
// Con	structors					
11						
inline :	FieldSpaceMo owner(false),	anager(Conte	ext& ctx_,	Runtime*	runtime_)	
	runtime(runti	<pre>ime_){};</pre>				

Snippet of the object that manages a Legion field space

HTR++ portability layer

Depending on the value of implType, this function calls the provided lambda on each point of the regions [0] using a:

- A standard for loop
- An OpenMP parallelized loop
- A CUDA kernel
- A HIP kernel

const bool isLeftStaggered = data.args.blockInfo.isStaggeredBC(dir, Minus); const bool isLeftCollocated = data.args.blockInfo.isCollocatedBC(dir, Minus); const bool isRightStaggered = data args.blockInfo.isStaggeredBC(dir, Plus); const bool isRightCollocated = data.orgs.blockInfo.isCollocatedBC(dir, Plus);

updateNodeType(data.nType, isLeftStaggered, isLeftCollocated, isRightStaggered, isRightCollocated, data.args.blockInfo, p);

Snippet of the implementation for the task initializes the grid operators for boundary regions

t architectures

ns,

runcime)

HTR++ portability layer — key features

- All the sources for each type of loop are implemented in the same place with clear advantages in terms of software management and interface design
- New models and features are only written in C++
- Porting to other architectures is very easy as it involves the inclusion of another kernel type in the for_each_point template function
- The implementation takes care of GPU reductions preserving the deferred execution model
- Developers can still implement their loops and OpenMP/CUDA/HIP kernels for \bullet debugging, performance, or exploration purposes

AutoMap

HTR has a sufficiently complex task graph that might have more than 2¹⁰⁰ possible mappings

The HTR mapper using previous experience, measurement, or what we believe is the best execution algorithm

We are experimenting with the use of AutoMap to find better mappers

Conclusions

- HTR is a compressible Navier—Stokes solver utilized for reacting flow simulations including combustion and hypersonics
- available task-based constructs
- of new mappers found using AutoMap
- If you are interested or want to get involved in HTR, please reach out!

HTR has been natively written to be utilized with Legion and leverage the

• HTR future developments involve a major porting to the C_{++} API and the use